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The bottleneck (for almost all gradient methods) is choosing step-size, which can lead to the dramatic difference in method's behavior.
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- Exact line search.

$$
\eta_{k}=\underset{\eta \geq 0}{\arg \min } f\left(x_{k}-\eta \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)
$$

## Direction of local steepest descent

Let's consider a linear approximation of the differentiable function $f$ along some direction $h,\|h\|_{2}=1$ :

## Direction of local steepest descent

Let's consider a linear approximation of the differentiable function $f$ along some direction $h,\|h\|_{2}=1$ :

$$
f(x+\alpha h)=f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)
$$

## Direction of local steepest descent

Let's consider a linear approximation of the differentiable function $f$ along some direction $h,\|h\|_{2}=1$ :

$$
f(x+\alpha h)=f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)
$$

We want $h$ to be a decreasing direction:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x+\alpha h)<f(x) \\
f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)<f(x)
\end{array}
$$

## Direction of local steepest descent

Let's consider a linear approximation of the differentiable function $f$ along some direction $h,\|h\|_{2}=1$ :

$$
f(x+\alpha h)=f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)
$$

We want $h$ to be a decreasing direction:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x+\alpha h)<f(x) \\
f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)<f(x)
\end{array}
$$

and going to the limit at $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

## Direction of local steepest descent

Let's consider a linear approximation of the differentiable function $f$ along some direction $h,\|h\|_{2}=1$ :

$$
f(x+\alpha h)=f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)
$$

We want $h$ to be a decreasing direction:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x+\alpha h)<f(x) \\
f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)<f(x)
\end{array}
$$

and going to the limit at $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Also from Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle\right| & \leq\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}\|h\|_{2} \\
\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle & \geq-\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}\|h\|_{2}=-\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Direction of local steepest descent

Let's consider a linear approximation of the differentiable function $f$ along some direction $h,\|h\|_{2}=1$ :

$$
f(x+\alpha h)=f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)
$$

We want $h$ to be a decreasing direction:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x+\alpha h)<f(x) \\
f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)<f(x)
\end{array}
$$

and going to the limit at $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Also from Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle\right| & \leq\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}\|h\|_{2} \\
\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle & \geq-\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}\|h\|_{2}=-\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the direction of the antigradient

$$
h=-\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}}
$$

gives the direction of the steepest local decreasing of the function $f$.

## Direction of local steepest descent

Let's consider a linear approximation of the differentiable function $f$ along some direction $h,\|h\|_{2}=1$ :

$$
f(x+\alpha h)=f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)
$$

We want $h$ to be a decreasing direction:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x+\alpha h)<f(x) \\
f(x)+\alpha\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+o(\alpha)<f(x)
\end{gathered}
$$ and going to the limit at $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Also from Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle\right| & \leq\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}\|h\|_{2} \\
\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle & \geq-\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}\|h\|_{2}=-\left\|f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the direction of the antigradient
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \phi_{2}(x)=0 \\
& \nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)+L\left(x^{*}-x_{0}\right)=0 \\
& x^{*}=x_{0}-\frac{1}{L} \nabla f\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\frac{1}{L} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This way leads to the $\frac{1}{L}$ stepsize choosing. However, often the $L$ constant is not known.
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Thus, for a $\mu$-strongly convex function, the PL-condition is satisfied

## Exact line search aka steepest descent

$$
\alpha_{k}=\arg \min _{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} f\left(x_{k+1}\right)=\arg \min _{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} f\left(x_{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)
$$

More theoretical than practical approach. It also allows you to analyze the convergence, but often exact line search can be difficult if the function calculation takes too long or costs a lot. Interesting theoretical property of this method is that each following iteration is orthogonal to the previous one:

$$
\alpha_{k}=\arg \min _{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} f\left(x_{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)
$$

Optimality conditions:

$$
\nabla f\left(x_{k+1}\right)^{\top} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)=0
$$
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## Convergence analysis. Backtracking line search

Assume that $f$ is convex, differentiable and Lipschitz gradient with constant $L>0$.
Theorem
Gradient descent with fixed step size $t \leq 1 / L$ satisfies
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f\left(x^{(k)}\right)-f^{*} \leq \frac{\left\|x^{(0)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2 t k}
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Let's show that the convergence rate for the Backtracking line search is no worse than $O(1 / k)$
Since $\nabla f$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant $L>0$, we have

$$
f(y) \leq f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}(y-x)+\frac{L}{2}\|y-x\|_{2}^{2}, \forall x, y
$$

Let $y=x^{+}=x-t \nabla f(x)$, then:

$$
f\left(x^{+}\right) \leq f(x)-\left(1-\frac{L t}{2}\right) t\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2} \leq f(x)-\frac{1}{2 L}\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2}
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This recalls us the stopping condition in Backtracking line search when $\alpha=0.5, t=\frac{1}{L}$. Hence, Backtracking line search with $\alpha=0.5$ plus condition of Lipschitz gradient will guarantee us the convergence rate of $O(1 / k)$.

## Python Examples

Why convexity and strong convexity is important? Check the simple ?code snippet.
Cool illustration of gradient descent
Lipschitz constant for linear regression

